



wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report ⓘ

Apr 30, 2026 – 02:20 PM JST

PDB ID : 9WPS / pdb_00009wps
BMRB ID : 36787
Title : Solution structure of the complex between the UBA-like domain of mouse HBS1L and ubiquitin
Authors : He, F.; Takahashi, M.; Tsuda, K.; Nagata, T.; Tanaka, A.; Kobayashi, N.; Kigawa, T.; Guntert, P.; Shirouzu, M.; Nameki, N.; Yokoyama, S.; Kuwasako, K.; Muto, Y.; RIKEN Structural Genomics/Proteomics Initiative (RSGI)
Deposited on : 2025-09-09

This is a wwPDB NMR Structure Validation Summary Report for a publicly released PDB entry.

We welcome your comments at validation@mail.wwpdb.org

A user guide is available at

<https://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/NMRValidationReportHelp>

with specific help available everywhere you see the ⓘ symbol.

The types of validation reports are described at

<http://www.wwpdb.org/validation/2017/FAQs#types>.

The following versions of software and data (see [references ⓘ](#)) were used in the production of this report:

MolProbity : 4-5-2 with Phenix2.0
Percentile statistics : 20250101.v01 (using entries in the PDB archive January 1st 2025)
wwPDB-RCI : v_1n_11_5_13_A (Berjanski et al., 2005)
PANAV : Wang et al. (2010)
wwPDB-ShiftChecker : v1.2
BMRB Restraints Analysis : v1.2
Ideal geometry (proteins) : Engh & Huber (2001)
Ideal geometry (DNA, RNA) : Parkinson et al. (1996)
Validation Pipeline (wwPDB-VP) : 2.49


1 Overall quality at a glance

The following experimental techniques were used to determine the structure:

SOLUTION NMR

The overall completeness of chemical shifts assignment is 93%.

Percentile scores (ranging between 0-100) for global validation metrics of the entry are shown in the following graphic. The table shows the number of entries on which the scores are based.



Metric	Whole archive (#Entries)	NMR archive (#Entries)
Clashscore	229148	14424
Ramachandran outliers	224038	12848
Sidechain outliers	223484	12823

The table below summarises the geometric issues observed across the polymeric chains and their fit to the experimental data. The red, orange, yellow and green segments indicate the fraction of residues that contain outliers for ≥ 3 , 2, 1 and 0 types of geometric quality criteria. A cyan segment indicates the fraction of residues that are not part of the well-defined cores, and a grey segment represents the fraction of residues that are not modelled. The numeric value for each fraction is indicated below the corresponding segment, with a dot representing fractions $\leq 5\%$

Mol	Chain	Length	Quality of chain
1	A	83	<div> <div style="width: 54%;"></div> <div style="width: 46%;"></div> <div>54%</div> <div>46%</div> </div>
2	B	83	<div> <div style="width: 86%;"></div> <div style="width: 14%;"></div> <div>86%</div> <div>14%</div> </div>

2 Ensemble composition and analysis

This entry contains 20 models. Model 3 is the overall representative, medoid model (most similar to other models). The authors have identified model 1 as representative, based on the following criterion: *lowest energy*.

The following residues are included in the computation of the global validation metrics.

Well-defined (core) protein residues			
Well-defined core	Residue range (total)	Backbone RMSD (Å)	Medoid model
1	A:30-A:74, B:91-B:161 (116)	0.49	3

Ill-defined regions of proteins are excluded from the global statistics.

Ligands and non-protein polymers are included in the analysis.

The models can be grouped into 2 clusters and 1 single-model cluster was found.

Cluster number	Models
1	1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20
2	5, 6
Single-model clusters	9

3 Entry composition

There are 2 unique types of molecules in this entry. The entry contains 2523 atoms, of which 1256 are hydrogens and 0 are deuteriums.

- Molecule 1 is a protein called HBS1-like protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
1	A	83	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			1227	383	598	107	137	2	

There are 13 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:

Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
A	1	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q69ZS7
A	2	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q69ZS7
A	3	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q69ZS7
A	4	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q69ZS7
A	5	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q69ZS7
A	6	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q69ZS7
A	7	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q69ZS7
A	78	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q69ZS7
A	79	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q69ZS7
A	80	PRO	-	expression tag	UNP Q69ZS7
A	81	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q69ZS7
A	82	SER	-	expression tag	UNP Q69ZS7
A	83	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP Q69ZS7

- Molecule 2 is a protein called Ubiquitin-ribosomal protein eS31 fusion protein.

Mol	Chain	Residues	Atoms						Trace
2	B	83	Total	C	H	N	O	S	0
			1296	396	658	112	129	1	

There are 7 discrepancies between the modelled and reference sequences:


Chain	Residue	Modelled	Actual	Comment	Reference
B	84	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP A0A8T3DNP6
B	85	SER	-	expression tag	UNP A0A8T3DNP6
B	86	SER	-	expression tag	UNP A0A8T3DNP6
B	87	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP A0A8T3DNP6
B	88	SER	-	expression tag	UNP A0A8T3DNP6
B	89	SER	-	expression tag	UNP A0A8T3DNP6
B	90	GLY	-	expression tag	UNP A0A8T3DNP6

4 Residue-property plots


4.1 Average score per residue in the NMR ensemble

These plots are provided for all protein, RNA, DNA and oligosaccharide chains in the entry. The first graphic is the same as shown in the summary in section 1 of this report. The second graphic shows the sequence where residues are colour-coded according to the number of geometric quality criteria for which they contain at least one outlier: green = 0, yellow = 1, orange = 2 and red = 3 or more. Stretches of 2 or more consecutive residues without any outliers are shown as green connectors. Residues which are classified as ill-defined in the NMR ensemble, are shown in cyan with an underline colour-coded according to the previous scheme. Residues which were present in the experimental sample, but not modelled in the final structure are shown in grey.

- Molecule 1: HBS1-like protein




- Molecule 2: Ubiquitin-ribosomal protein eS31 fusion protein




4.2 Residue scores for the representative (medoid) model from the NMR ensemble

The representative model is number 3. Colouring as in section 4.1 above.

- Molecule 1: HBS1-like protein



- Molecule 2: Ubiquitin-ribosomal protein eS31 fusion protein



5 Refinement protocol and experimental data overview

The models were refined using the following method: *simulated annealing*.

Of the 200 calculated structures, 20 were deposited, based on the following criterion: *structures with the least restraint violations*.

The following table shows the software used for structure solution, optimisation and refinement.

Software name	Classification	Version
Amber	refinement	24
CYANA	structure calculation	2.1
TALOS	geometry optimization	2007

The following table shows chemical shift validation statistics as aggregates over all chemical shift files. Detailed validation can be found in section 7 of this report.

Chemical shift file(s)	working_cs.cif
Number of chemical shift lists	1
Total number of shifts	1924
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1924
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Assignment completeness (well-defined parts)	93%

6 Model quality i

6.1 Standard geometry i

The Z score for a bond length (or angle) is the number of standard deviations the observed value is removed from the expected value. A bond length (or angle) with $|Z| > 5$ is considered an outlier worth inspection. RMSZ is the (average) root-mean-square of all Z scores of the bond lengths (or angles).

Mol	Chain	Bond lengths		Bond angles	
		RMSZ	#Z>5	RMSZ	#Z>5
1	A	0.79±0.01	0±0/361 (0.0± 0.0%)	1.49±0.02	0±1/489 (0.0± 0.1%)
2	B	0.79±0.01	0±0/570 (0.0± 0.0%)	1.33±0.02	1±1/770 (0.1± 0.2%)
All	All	0.79	0/18620 (0.0%)	1.40	21/25180 (0.1%)

Chiral center outliers are detected by calculating the chiral volume of a chiral center and verifying if the center is modelled as a planar moiety or with the opposite hand. A planarity outlier is detected by checking planarity of atoms in a peptide group, atoms in a mainchain group or atoms of a sidechain that are expected to be planar.

Mol	Chain	Chirality	Planarity
1	A	0.0±0.0	0.1±0.2
2	B	0.0±0.0	0.1±0.2
All	All	0	2

There are no bond-length outliers.

5 of 10 unique angle outliers are listed below. They are sorted according to the Z-score of the worst occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Atoms	Z	Observed(°)	Ideal(°)	Models	
								Worst	Total
2	B	126	ILE	CA-C-N	5.57	123.67	119.66	4	6
2	B	126	ILE	C-N-CA	5.57	123.67	119.66	4	6
2	B	132	ARG	NE-CZ-NH2	5.47	124.12	119.20	4	1
2	B	141	GLU	CA-C-N	5.18	127.47	120.38	6	1
2	B	141	GLU	C-N-CA	5.18	127.47	120.38	6	1

There are no chirality outliers.

All unique planar outliers are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
1	A	42	ARG	Sidechain	1

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Group	Models (Total)
2	B	132	ARG	Sidechain	1

6.2 Too-close contacts [i](#)

In the following table, the Non-H and H(model) columns list the number of non-hydrogen atoms and hydrogen atoms in each chain respectively. The H(added) column lists the number of hydrogen atoms added and optimized by MolProbity. The Clashes column lists the number of clashes averaged over the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Non-H	H(model)	H(added)	Clashes
All	All	18380	18880	18880	-

The all-atom clashscore is defined as the number of clashes found per 1000 atoms (including hydrogen atoms). The all-atom clashscore for this structure is -.

There are no clashes.

6.3 Torsion angles [i](#)

6.3.1 Protein backbone [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent Ramachandran outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the backbone conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Favoured	Allowed	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	45/83 (54%)	44±0 (98±0%)	1±0 (2±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
2	B	71/83 (86%)	71±0 (100±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	0±0 (0±0%)	100	100
All	All	2320/3320 (70%)	2299 (99%)	21 (1%)	0 (0%)	100	100

There are no Ramachandran outliers.

6.3.2 Protein sidechains [i](#)

In the following table, the Percentiles column shows the percent sidechain outliers of the chain as a percentile score with respect to all PDB entries followed by that with respect to all NMR entries. The Analysed column shows the number of residues for which the sidechain conformation was analysed and the total number of residues.

Mol	Chain	Analysed	Rotameric	Outliers	Percentiles	
1	A	40/71 (56%)	39±0 (99±1%)	1±0 (1±1%)	57	93
2	B	65/72 (90%)	65±0 (100±1%)	0±0 (0±1%)	85	97
All	All	2100/2860 (73%)	2086 (99%)	14 (1%)	73	96

5 of 6 unique residues with a non-rotameric sidechain are listed below. They are sorted by the frequency of occurrence in the ensemble.

Mol	Chain	Res	Type	Models (Total)
1	A	67	LYS	9
2	B	150	ASN	1
1	A	51	ASP	1
1	A	66	GLN	1
2	B	92	GLN	1

6.3.3 RNA [i](#)

There are no RNA molecules in this entry.

6.4 Non-standard residues in protein, DNA, RNA chains [i](#)

There are no non-standard protein/DNA/RNA residues in this entry.

6.5 Carbohydrates [i](#)

There are no oligosaccharides in this entry.

6.6 Ligand geometry [i](#)

There are no ligands in this entry.

6.7 Other polymers [i](#)

There are no such molecules in this entry.

6.8 Polymer linkage issues [i](#)

There are no chain breaks in this entry.

7 Chemical shift validation

The completeness of assignment taking into account all chemical shift lists is 93% for the well-defined parts and 89% for the entire structure.

7.1 Chemical shift list 1

File name: working_cs.cif

Chemical shift list name: *HBS1-UBQ*

7.1.1 Bookkeeping

The following table shows the results of parsing the chemical shift list and reports the number of nuclei with statistically unusual chemical shifts.

Total number of shifts	1924
Number of shifts mapped to atoms	1924
Number of unparsed shifts	0
Number of shifts with mapping errors	0
Number of shifts with mapping warnings	0
Number of shift outliers (ShiftChecker)	0

7.1.2 Chemical shift referencing

The following table shows the suggested chemical shift referencing corrections.

Nucleus	# values	Correction \pm precision, ppm	Suggested action
$^{13}\text{C}_\alpha$	156	-0.31 ± 0.09	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}_\beta$	143	0.12 ± 0.09	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
$^{13}\text{C}'$	154	-0.17 ± 0.14	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)
^{15}N	143	0.32 ± 0.36	None needed (< 0.5 ppm)

7.1.3 Completeness of resonance assignments

The following table shows the completeness of the chemical shift assignments for the well-defined regions of the structure. The overall completeness is 93%, i.e. 1515 atoms were assigned a chemical shift out of a possible 1621. 0 out of 24 assigned methyl groups (LEU and VAL) were assigned stereospecifically.

	Total	^1H	^{13}C	^{15}N
Backbone	571/577 (99%)	231/233 (99%)	231/232 (100%)	109/112 (97%)
Sidechain	899/975 (92%)	613/633 (97%)	276/310 (89%)	10/32 (31%)

Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

	Total	¹ H	¹³ C	¹⁵ N
Aromatic	45/69 (65%)	23/35 (66%)	22/31 (71%)	0/3 (0%)
Overall	1515/1621 (93%)	867/901 (96%)	529/573 (92%)	119/147 (81%)


7.1.4 Statistically unusual chemical shifts [i](#)

There are no statistically unusual chemical shifts.


7.1.5 Random Coil Index (RCI) plots [i](#)

The image below reports *random coil index* values for the protein chains in the structure. The height of each bar gives a probability of a given residue to be disordered, as predicted from the available chemical shifts and the amino acid sequence. A value above 0.2 is an indication of significant predicted disorder. The colour of the bar shows whether the residue is in the well-defined core (black) or in the ill-defined residue ranges (cyan), as described in section 2 on ensemble composition. If well-defined core and ill-defined regions are not identified then it is shown as gray bars.

Random coil index (RCI) for chain A:



Random coil index (RCI) for chain B:



8 NMR restraints analysis

8.1 Conformationally restricting restraints

The following table provides the summary of experimentally observed NMR restraints in different categories. Restraints are classified into different categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved.

Description	Value
Total distance restraints	6687
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	1535
Sequential ($ i-j =1$)	1721
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ and $ i-j <5$)	1340
Long range ($ i-j \geq 5$)	2023
Inter-chain	68
Hydrogen bond restraints	0
Disulfide bond restraints	0
Total dihedral-angle restraints	969
Number of unmapped restraints	0
Number of restraints per residue	46.1
Number of long range restraints per residue ¹	12.2

¹Long range hydrogen bonds and disulfide bonds are counted as long range restraints while calculating the number of long range restraints per residue

8.2 Residual restraint violations

This section provides the overview of the restraint violations analysis. The violations are binned as small, medium and large violations based on its absolute value. Average number of violations per model is calculated by dividing the total number of violations in each bin by the size of the ensemble.

8.2.1 Average number of distance violations per model

Distance violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the calculation.

Bins (Å)	Average number of violations per model	Max (Å)
0.1-0.2 (Small)	66.8	0.2
0.2-0.5 (Medium)	147.7	0.5
>0.5 (Large)	249.8	2.81

8.2.2 Average number of dihedral-angle violations per model [i](#)

Dihedral-angle violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Bins (°)	Average number of violations per model	Max (°)
1.0-10.0 (Small)	12.8	9.71
10.0-20.0 (Medium)	1.1	18.04
>20.0 (Large)	205.0	134.25

9 Distance violation analysis ⓘ


9.1 Summary of distance violations ⓘ

The following table shows the summary of distance violations in different restraint categories based on the sequence separation of the atoms involved. Each category is further sub-divided into three sub-categories based on the atoms involved. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Restrains type	Count	% ¹	Violated ³			Consistently Violated ⁴		
			Count	% ²	% ¹	Count	% ²	% ¹
Intra-residue ($ i-j =0$)	1535	23.0	70	4.6	1.0	48	3.1	0.7
Backbone-Backbone	4	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	1228	18.4	61	5.0	0.9	44	3.6	0.7
Sidechain-Sidechain	303	4.5	9	3.0	0.1	4	1.3	0.1
Sequential ($ i-j =1$)	1721	25.7	182	10.6	2.7	137	8.0	2.0
Backbone-Backbone	365	5.5	4	1.1	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	1108	16.6	121	10.9	1.8	94	8.5	1.4
Sidechain-Sidechain	248	3.7	57	23.0	0.9	43	17.3	0.6
Medium range ($ i-j >1$ & $ i-j <5$)	1340	20.0	144	10.7	2.2	86	6.4	1.3
Backbone-Backbone	248	3.7	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	579	8.7	65	11.2	1.0	44	7.6	0.7
Sidechain-Sidechain	513	7.7	79	15.4	1.2	42	8.2	0.6
Long range ($ i-j \geq 5$)	2023	30.3	167	8.3	2.5	115	5.7	1.7
Backbone-Backbone	146	2.2	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	840	12.6	64	7.6	1.0	56	6.7	0.8
Sidechain-Sidechain	1037	15.5	103	9.9	1.5	59	5.7	0.9
Inter-chain	68	1.0	4	5.9	0.1	1	1.5	0.0
Backbone-Backbone	5	0.1	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	21	0.3	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Sidechain-Sidechain	42	0.6	4	9.5	0.1	1	2.4	0.0
Hydrogen bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Disulfide bond	0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	6687	100.0	567	8.5	8.5	387	5.8	5.8
Backbone-Backbone	768	11.5	4	0.5	0.1	0	0.0	0.0
Backbone-Sidechain	3776	56.5	311	8.2	4.7	238	6.3	3.6
Sidechain-Sidechain	2143	32.0	252	11.8	3.8	149	7.0	2.2

¹ percentage calculated with respect to the total number of distance restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to the number of restraints in a particular restraint category, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models

9.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of distance restraints and violations [i](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their respective categories. The hydrogen bonds and disulfid bonds are counted in their appropriate category on the x-axis

9.2 Distance violation statistics for each model [i](#)

The following table provides the distance violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 0.1 Å are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD ⁶ (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total				
1	63	158	112	134	4	471	0.65	2.48	0.45	0.53
2	59	161	113	134	2	469	0.66	2.62	0.46	0.56
3	57	157	111	138	3	466	0.66	2.7	0.46	0.56
4	57	165	114	136	1	473	0.65	2.81	0.46	0.54
5	64	150	108	136	2	460	0.66	2.59	0.45	0.54
6	61	160	111	138	3	473	0.64	2.55	0.45	0.53
7	58	155	114	140	3	470	0.65	2.77	0.45	0.55
8	60	158	111	136	3	468	0.65	2.78	0.46	0.56
9	57	164	109	145	4	479	0.65	2.79	0.46	0.56
10	57	155	103	137	4	456	0.66	2.76	0.46	0.56

Continued on next page...


Continued from previous page...

Model ID	Number of violations						Mean (Å)	Max (Å)	SD ⁶ (Å)	Median (Å)
	IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total				
11	61	160	107	145	2	475	0.65	2.61	0.46	0.55
12	52	156	106	135	3	452	0.67	2.67	0.46	0.56
13	59	163	105	139	2	468	0.65	2.65	0.46	0.55
14	63	156	112	127	3	461	0.66	2.68	0.46	0.54
15	59	157	107	136	4	463	0.66	2.58	0.45	0.53
16	60	159	106	134	3	462	0.65	2.59	0.45	0.54
17	59	161	109	136	2	467	0.65	2.42	0.45	0.55
18	64	155	112	133	4	468	0.66	2.6	0.46	0.53
19	63	154	113	138	3	471	0.64	2.65	0.46	0.52
20	57	165	116	141	3	482	0.65	2.8	0.46	0.56

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints,

⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶Standard deviation

9.2.1 Bar graph : Distance Violation statistics for each model ⓘ



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

9.3 Distance violation statistics for the ensemble ⓘ


Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of the ensemble. In total, 6120(IR:1465, SQ:1539, MR:1196, LR:1856, IC:64) restraints are not violated in the ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble	
IR ¹	SQ ²	MR ³	LR ⁴	IC ⁵	Total	Count ⁶	%
4	8	13	14	0	39	1	5.0
0	5	7	0	0	12	2	10.0
0	2	1	1	0	4	3	15.0
0	0	6	3	0	9	4	20.0
2	2	3	5	0	12	5	25.0
2	2	3	2	0	9	6	30.0
0	0	2	1	1	4	7	35.0
2	2	0	4	0	8	8	40.0
1	0	0	2	0	3	9	45.0
0	1	1	0	0	2	10	50.0
0	2	0	1	0	3	11	55.0
0	4	1	1	0	6	12	60.0
2	2	1	4	0	9	13	65.0
2	3	2	0	0	7	14	70.0
1	1	3	4	1	10	15	75.0
0	0	3	2	1	6	16	80.0
2	1	1	2	0	6	17	85.0
0	3	4	0	0	7	18	90.0
4	7	7	6	0	24	19	95.0
48	137	86	115	1	387	20	100.0

¹Intra-residue restraints, ²Sequential restraints, ³Medium range restraints, ⁴Long range restraints,

⁵Inter-chain restraints, ⁶ Number of models with violations


9.3.1 Bar graph : Distance violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)



9.4 Most violated distance restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

9.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models in the ensemble



9.4.2 Table: Most violated distance restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Models ¹	Mean (Å)	SD ¹ (Å)	Median (Å)
(1,1652)	2:96:B:LYS:HG3	2:158:B:HIS:HB2	20	2.61	0.16	2.65
(2,2498)	2:96:B:LYS:HG3	2:158:B:HIS:HB2	20	2.61	0.16	2.65
(1,2345)	2:122:B:ASP:HB2	2:123:B:LYS:HG3	20	2.55	0.08	2.58
(2,842)	2:122:B:ASP:HB2	2:123:B:LYS:HG3	20	2.55	0.08	2.58
(1,1132)	1:66:A:GLN:HB3	1:67:A:LYS:HG3	20	1.96	0.09	1.96
(2,1861)	1:66:A:GLN:HB3	1:67:A:LYS:HG3	20	1.96	0.09	1.96
(1,322)	1:34:A:LEU:HB2	1:35:A:TYR:HB3	20	1.93	0.03	1.93
(2,2565)	1:34:A:LEU:HB2	1:35:A:TYR:HB3	20	1.93	0.03	1.93
(1,1130)	1:66:A:GLN:HB3	1:67:A:LYS:HB3	20	1.9	0.06	1.9
(2,1857)	1:66:A:GLN:HB3	1:67:A:LYS:HB3	20	1.9	0.06	1.9

¹Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation

9.5 All violated distance restraints [i](#)

9.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of distance violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



9.5.2 Table : All distance violations [i](#)

The following table provides the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint. Rows with same key represent combinatorial or ambiguous restraints and are counted as a single restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Model ID	Violation (Å)
(2,2498)	2:96:B:LYS:HG3	2:158:B:HIS:HB2	4	2.81
(1,1652)	2:96:B:LYS:HG3	2:158:B:HIS:HB2	4	2.81
(2,2498)	2:96:B:LYS:HG3	2:158:B:HIS:HB2	20	2.8
(1,1652)	2:96:B:LYS:HG3	2:158:B:HIS:HB2	20	2.8
(2,2498)	2:96:B:LYS:HG3	2:158:B:HIS:HB2	9	2.79
(1,1652)	2:96:B:LYS:HG3	2:158:B:HIS:HB2	9	2.79
(2,2498)	2:96:B:LYS:HG3	2:158:B:HIS:HB2	8	2.78
(1,1652)	2:96:B:LYS:HG3	2:158:B:HIS:HB2	8	2.78
(2,2498)	2:96:B:LYS:HG3	2:158:B:HIS:HB2	7	2.77
(1,1652)	2:96:B:LYS:HG3	2:158:B:HIS:HB2	7	2.77

10 Dihedral-angle violation analysis [i](#)


10.1 Summary of dihedral-angle violations [i](#)

The following table provides the summary of dihedral-angle violations in different dihedral-angle types. Violations less than 1° are not included in the calculation.

Angle type	Count	% ¹	Violated ³			Consistently Violated ⁴		
			Count	% ²	% ¹	Count	% ²	% ¹
CHI2	8	0.8	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
PSI	188	19.4	8	4.3	0.8	4	2.1	0.4
OMEGA	165	17.0	30	18.2	3.1	1	0.6	0.1
PHI	180	18.6	10	5.6	1.0	0	0.0	0.0
UNNAMED	418	43.1	205	49.0	21.2	205	49.0	21.2
CHI1	10	1.0	0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.0
Total	969	100.0	253	26.1	26.1	210	21.7	21.7

¹ percentage calculated with respect to total number of dihedral-angle restraints, ² percentage calculated with respect to number of restraints in a particular dihedral-angle type, ³ violated in at least one model, ⁴ violated in all the models

10.1.1 Bar chart : Distribution of dihedral-angles and violations [i](#)



Violated and consistently violated restraints are shown using different hatch patterns in their


respective categories

10.2 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model

The following table provides the dihedral-angle violation statistics for each model in the ensemble. Violations less than 1° are not included in the statistics.

Model ID	Number of violations							Mean (°)	Max (°)	SD (°)
	CHI2	PSI	OMEGA	PHI	UNNAMED	CHI1	Total			
1	0	4	8	0	205	0	217	123.73	133.65	29.14
2	0	4	7	2	205	0	218	123.29	133.61	29.75
3	0	4	7	0	205	0	216	124.29	134.02	27.96
4	0	4	11	2	205	0	222	121.01	134.14	33.83
5	0	4	8	0	205	0	217	123.74	134.25	28.97
6	0	4	9	2	205	0	220	122.14	134.14	31.98
7	0	4	8	4	205	0	221	121.62	134.14	32.77
8	0	4	6	0	205	0	215	124.92	133.97	26.73
9	0	4	7	2	205	0	218	123.29	134.12	29.66
10	0	4	9	2	205	0	220	122.19	134.01	31.76
11	0	6	9	2	205	0	222	120.93	134.09	34.07
12	0	4	4	2	205	0	215	124.91	133.95	26.36
13	0	4	9	2	205	0	220	122.13	133.97	31.93
14	0	4	4	4	205	0	217	123.81	133.84	28.71
15	0	4	11	0	205	0	220	122.1	134.1	32.2
16	0	4	10	4	205	0	223	120.43	134.21	34.83
17	0	6	8	2	205	0	221	121.5	134.01	33.23
18	0	4	3	2	205	0	214	125.52	133.77	24.99
19	0	4	10	4	205	0	223	120.53	133.99	34.51
20	0	4	10	2	205	0	221	121.56	134.09	32.8

10.2.1 Bar graph : Dihedral violation statistics for each model [i](#)



The mean(dot),median(x) and the standard deviation are shown in blue with respect to the y axis on the right

10.3 Dihedral-angle violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)

Violation analysis may find that some restraints are violated in very few models and some are violated in most of models. The following table provides this information as number of violated restraints for a given fraction of ensemble.

Number of violated restraints						Fraction of the ensemble		
CHI2	PSI	OMEGA	PHI	UNNAMED	CHI1	Total	Count ¹	%
0	4	12	4	0	0	20	1	5.0
0	0	4	0	0	0	4	2	10.0
0	0	4	2	0	0	6	3	15.0
0	0	0	2	0	0	2	4	20.0
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	25.0
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	6	30.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	35.0
0	0	2	0	0	0	2	8	40.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	45.0
0	0	0	2	0	0	2	10	50.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	55.0


Continued on next page...

Continued from previous page...

Number of violated restraints							Fraction of the ensemble	
CHI2	PSI	OMEGA	PHI	UNNAMED	CHI1	Total	Count ¹	%
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	60.0
0	0	2	0	0	0	2	13	65.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	70.0
0	0	1	0	0	0	1	15	75.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	80.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	85.0
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	18	90.0
0	0	2	0	0	0	2	19	95.0
0	4	1	0	205	0	210	20	100.0

¹ Number of models with violations

10.3.1 Bar graph : Dihedral-angle Violation statistics for the ensemble [i](#)




10.4 Most violated dihedral-angle restraints in the ensemble [i](#)

10.4.1 Histogram : Distribution of mean dihedral-angle violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the average value of the violation. The average is calculated for each restraint that is violated in more than one model over all the violated models

in the ensemble



10.4.2 Table: Most violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the mean and the standard deviation of the violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by number of violated models and the mean violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.


Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Models ¹	Mean	SD ²	Med
(1,311)	2:127:B:PRO:CA	2:127:B:PRO:CG	2:127:B:PRO:HB3	2:127:B:PRO:HB2	20	133.56	0.05	133.
(1,262)	2:109:B:PRO:CG	2:109:B:PRO:N	2:109:B:PRO:HD3	2:109:B:PRO:HD2	20	133.43	1.14	134.
(1,191)	1:80:A:PRO:CA	1:80:A:PRO:CG	1:80:A:PRO:HB3	1:80:A:PRO:HB2	20	133.29	0.53	133.
(1,114)	1:50:A:PRO:CA	1:50:A:PRO:CG	1:50:A:PRO:HB3	1:50:A:PRO:HB2	20	133.29	0.05	133.
(1,315)	2:128:B:PRO:CA	2:128:B:PRO:CG	2:128:B:PRO:HB3	2:128:B:PRO:HB2	20	133.2	0.06	133.
(1,261)	2:109:B:PRO:CB	2:109:B:PRO:CD	2:109:B:PRO:HG3	2:109:B:PRO:HG2	20	132.65	0.53	132.
(1,260)	2:109:B:PRO:CA	2:109:B:PRO:CG	2:109:B:PRO:HB3	2:109:B:PRO:HB2	20	132.51	0.54	132.
(1,192)	1:80:A:PRO:CB	1:80:A:PRO:CD	1:80:A:PRO:HG3	1:80:A:PRO:HG2	20	132.49	0.47	132.
(1,193)	1:80:A:PRO:CG	1:80:A:PRO:N	1:80:A:PRO:HD3	1:80:A:PRO:HD2	20	132.46	0.4	132.
(1,116)	1:50:A:PRO:CG	1:50:A:PRO:N	1:50:A:PRO:HD3	1:50:A:PRO:HD2	20	132.38	0.17	132.

¹ Number of violated models, ²Standard deviation, All angle values are in degree (°)

10.5 All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

10.5.1 Histogram : Distribution of violations [i](#)

The following histogram shows the distribution of the absolute value of the violation for all violated restraints in the ensemble.



10.5.2 Table: All violated dihedral-angle restraints [i](#)

The following table provides the list of violations for the 10 worst performing restraints, sorted by the violation value. The Key (restraint list ID, restraint ID) is the unique identifier for a given restraint.

Key	Atom-1	Atom-2	Atom-3	Atom-4	Model ID	Violation (°)
(1,262)	2:109:B:PRO:CG	2:109:B:PRO:N	2:109:B:PRO:HD3	2:109:B:PRO:HD2	5	134.25
(1,262)	2:109:B:PRO:CG	2:109:B:PRO:N	2:109:B:PRO:HD3	2:109:B:PRO:HD2	16	134.21
(1,262)	2:109:B:PRO:CG	2:109:B:PRO:N	2:109:B:PRO:HD3	2:109:B:PRO:HD2	4	134.14
(1,262)	2:109:B:PRO:CG	2:109:B:PRO:N	2:109:B:PRO:HD3	2:109:B:PRO:HD2	6	134.14
(1,262)	2:109:B:PRO:CG	2:109:B:PRO:N	2:109:B:PRO:HD3	2:109:B:PRO:HD2	7	134.14
(1,262)	2:109:B:PRO:CG	2:109:B:PRO:N	2:109:B:PRO:HD3	2:109:B:PRO:HD2	9	134.12
(1,262)	2:109:B:PRO:CG	2:109:B:PRO:N	2:109:B:PRO:HD3	2:109:B:PRO:HD2	15	134.1
(1,262)	2:109:B:PRO:CG	2:109:B:PRO:N	2:109:B:PRO:HD3	2:109:B:PRO:HD2	11	134.09
(1,262)	2:109:B:PRO:CG	2:109:B:PRO:N	2:109:B:PRO:HD3	2:109:B:PRO:HD2	20	134.09
(1,262)	2:109:B:PRO:CG	2:109:B:PRO:N	2:109:B:PRO:HD3	2:109:B:PRO:HD2	3	134.02